

JOHN J. FLYNN III (SBN 76419)  
BENJAMIN Z. RUBIN (SBN 249630)  
NOSSAMAN LLP  
18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1800  
Irvine, California 92612-0177  
Telephone: (949) 833-7800  
Facsimile: (949) 833-7878  
[jflynn@nossaman.com](mailto:jflynn@nossaman.com)

Atorneys for Plaintiffs  
SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P., a Delaware limited partnership;  
SPRINT SPECTRUM REALTY COMPANY, L.P., a Delaware  
limited partnership

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P., a Delaware limited partnership; SPRINT SPECTRUM REALTY COMPANY, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership,

## Plaintiffs,

VS.

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO; BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO, its governing body; MARK CHURCH, in his official capacity as Board Member of the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Mateo; JERRY HILL, in his official capacity as Board Member of the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Mateo; RICH GORDON, in his official capacity as Board Member of the Board of Supervisors for the of the County of San Mateo; ROSE GIBSON, in her official capacity as Board Member of the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Mateo; ADRIENNE TISSIER, in her official capacity as Board Member of the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Mateo; and DOES 1-10, inclusive.

## Defendants.

Case No. C 08-00342 CW

Hon. Claudia Wilken  
Courtroom 2

**STIPULATION TO CONTINUE  
BRIEFING DATES TO  
CORRESPOND WITH COURT  
ORDER STAYING ACTION FOR  
SIXTY (60) DAYS**

[Concurrently filed with Proposed Order]

1           WHEREAS, on or about January 17, 2008, Plaintiffs Sprint Spectrum L.P.  
2 and Sprint Spectrum Realty Company, L.P. (collectively "Sprint") filed the above entitled  
3 action; and

4           WHEREAS, on or about May 29, 2008, Sprint filed a First Amended  
5 Complaint; and

6           WHEREAS, on or about June 9, 2008, defendants County of San Mateo,  
7 Board of Supervisors for the County of San Mateo, Mark Church, Jerry Hill, Rich  
8 Gordon, Rose Gibson, and Adrienne Tissier (collectively the "County") filed an answer  
9 in the above entitled matter, and thereafter filed the Administrative Record on July 21st;  
10 and

11          WHEREAS, on or about June 19, 2008, the Court held a Case Management  
12 Conference and thereafter issued a Scheduling Order setting August 21, 2008 as the  
13 deadline for Sprint to file an opening brief on a Rule 56 motion, September 4, 2008 as the  
14 deadline for the County to file an opposition and any cross motion, September 11, 2008  
15 as the deadline for Sprint to file a reply/opposition to cross motion, September 18, 2008  
16 as the deadline for the County to file a surreply, and October 2, 2008 as the date for a  
17 follow-up case management conference and for hearing on the motion and any cross-  
18 motion; and

19          WHEREAS, on August 8, 2008, the parties filed a Stipulation to Stay Action  
20 for Sixty (60) Days to Facilitate Settlement Discussions;

21          WHEREAS, on August 11, 2008, the Court stayed the action for sixty (60)  
22 days, noting in its Order that the dispositive motion hearing date and the case  
23 management conference are continued to December 4, 2008 at 2:00 p.m.;

24          NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby stipulated by and between Sprint and the  
25 County, through their respective counsel, and subject to the approval of the Court, as  
26 follows:

27           1.       That the briefing dates for a dispositive motion be continued as  
28 follows: October 23, 2008 as the deadline for Sprint to file an opening brief, November

1 6, 2008 as the deadline for the County to file an opposition and any cross motion,  
2 November 13, 2008 as the deadline for Sprint to file a reply/opposition to cross motion,  
3 and November 20, 2008 as the deadline for the County to file a surreply.

4 2. That this Stipulation may be executed in counterparts. All  
5 counterparts when executed shall constitute one document notwithstanding that all of the  
6 parties are not a signatory to the original or the same counterpart.

7  
8 Dated: August 14, 2008 SAN MATEO COUNTY COUNSEL

9  
10 By: \_\_\_\_\_/s/  
11 Timothy J. Fox, Deputy County Counsel  
12 Attorneys for Defendants

13 Dated: August 14, 2008 NOSSAMAN LLP

14  
15 By: \_\_\_\_\_/s/  
16 John J. Flynn III  
17 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
18 .  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

JOHN J. FLYNN III (SBN 76419)  
NOSSAMAN LLP  
18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1800  
Irvine, California 92612-0177  
Telephone: (949) 833-7800  
Facsimile: (949) 833-7878  
[jflynn@nossaman.com](mailto:jflynn@nossaman.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P., a Delaware limited partnership;  
SPRINT SPECTRUM REALTY COMPANY, L.P., a Delaware  
limited partnership

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P., a Delaware limited partnership; SPRINT SPECTRUM REALTY COMPANY, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership,

Case No. C 08-00342 CW

Hon. Claudia Wilken  
Courtroom 2

**[PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING  
BRIEFING DATES TO  
CORRESPOND WITH COURT  
ORDER STAYING ACTION FOR  
SIXTY (60) DAYS**

## Plaintiffs,

VS.

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO; BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO, its governing body; MARK CHURCH, in his official capacity as Board Member of the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Mateo; JERRY HILL, in his official capacity as Board Member of the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Mateo; RICH GORDON, in his official capacity as Board Member of the Board of Supervisors for the of the County of San Mateo; ROSE GIBSON, in her official capacity as Board Member of the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Mateo; ADRIENNE TISSIER, in her official capacity as Board Member of the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Mateo; and DOES 1-10, inclusive.

### Defendants.

Based on the stipulation of the parties, the Court continues the briefing dates for a dispositive motion as follows: October 23, 2008 as the deadline for Sprint to file an opening brief; November 6, 2008 as the deadline for the County to file an opposition and any cross motion; November 13, 2008 as the deadline for Sprint to file a reply/opposition to cross motion; and November 20, 2008 as the deadline for the County to file a surreply.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

Hon. CLAUDIA WILKEN  
United States District Judge